



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 6/8/2021
 ORM Number: LRH-2013-385-MUS-UT to Salt Fork
 Associated JDs: LRH-2013-385-MUS-UT to Salt Fork
 Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Ohio City: Warren Township County/Parish/Borough: Belmont County
 Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 40.004542 Longitude -81.217889

II. FINDINGS

- A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
 - There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
 - There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.
² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.
³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Stream 30	139	linear feet	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool.	Stream 30 is an ephemeral stream and meets the definition of “ephemeral” in paragraph (c)(3). Reference Section III D for typical year assessments.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [The applicant submitted the Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Report dated 5 March 2013.](#)
This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
Rationale: [The information provided by or on behalf of the applicant accurately reflects the district’s conclusions on the AJD.](#)
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Photographs: [Other: In report referenced above.](#)
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [21 May 2013](#)
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [LRH-2013-385-MUS-UT to Salt Fork dated 21 February 2020, and 3 February 2014.](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [In report referenced above.](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [Ohio 1:24K - Fairview](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): [A typical year occurs over a rolling thirty year period and includes the analysis of precipitation and other climatic variables to establish a normal period range \(seasonally or annually\) for a specific geographic region where the aquatic resource occurs. One point-in-time data sources, one for 28 February 2013, with a corresponding APT report, were included in the evaluation for the excluded ephemeral stream listed in Section II D \(Stream 30\). According to the APT report of February](#)

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

2013, normal conditions were observed during the dry season with a PSDI Value of 0.25 (normal) and an ARC score of 14. The antecedent precipitation condition is considered “normal” for that point in time. Additionally, during the based on the pictures submitted with the Report, during normal conditions in the wet season, no flow was observed, supporting the fact that the ephemeral stream does not meet the flow requirements of a jurisdictional tributary. It has been determined that the ephemeral stream listed in Section II D, above, exhibits ephemeral flow, and is not a water of the United States per 33 CFR 328.3(b)(3), and is not subject to regulation under Section 404.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: Stream 30 was assessed as ephemeral under previous jurisdictional determinations. All other aquatic resource’s jurisdictional status’ are addressed within the 21 February 2020 Jurisdictional Determination letter.