



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 10/15/2020
 ORM Number: LRH-2020-693-TUS
 Associated JDs: N/A
 Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Ohio City: Village of Magnolia, Sandy and Rose Townships
 County/Parish/Borough: Stark & Carroll
 Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 40.647864 Longitude -81.286313

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: Based on a review of the information submitted on behalf of the applicant, there are no waters of any kind within the entire review area.
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A.	N/A

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Bair, Goodie and Associates Inc., on behalf of Phoenix Asphalt Company, Inc., submitted a Ecological Resources Inventory Report dated 3 September 2020.](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [The information provided by or on behalf of the applicant accurately reflects the district's conclusions on the AJD.](#)

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Photographs: [Other: Site photographs in report referenced above.](#)
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\).](#)
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Figure 4 – Soils Map](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [Figure 5 – National Wetland Inventory Map](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [Ohio 1:24K – Waynesburg](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	Figure 2 – USGS Topographic Map
USDA Sources	N/A
NOAA Sources	N/A.
CorpsMap ORM Map Layers	N/A
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	Historic Aerial Photos and Topographic Maps

B. Typical year assessment(s): [A typical year occurs over a rolling thirty year period and includes the analysis of precipitation and other climatic variables to establish a normal period range \(seasonally or annually\) for a specific geographic region where the aquatic resource occurs. A one \(1\) point-in-time data source, May 16, 2012 with a corresponding APT report, were included in the evaluation of the site. The](#)

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

antecedent precipitation tool was utilized to determine typical year for point-in-time data sources. Based on the antecedent precipitation tool, May 16, 2012 is included during the WebWIMP wet season and has a Palmer Drought Severity Index was 2.37 (moderate drought) and an ARC score of 10. The antecedent precipitation condition is considered "normal conditions" for that point in time. The 30-day rolling total for precipitation was within the 30-year normal range. Therefore, site conditions are within typical year conditions and no aquatic resources were identified within the 36 acre project area.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: The review area is not within the FEMA 100 year floodplain.