

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Huntington District, LRH-2016-889-SCR-Relevant Reach 1 (Stream 4 - 1st order, intermittent and abutting wetlands (Wetland 15A and Wetland 15C6) and adjacent wetlands (Wetland 15C, Wetland 15C1, Wetland 15C2, Wetland 15C3, Wetland 15C4, Wetland 16A, and Wetland 16B)).

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Ohio County/parish/borough: Franklin County City: Columbus
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.000773° **N**, Long. 82.891947° **W**.
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: Mason Run

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Scioto River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 050600011505 - Mason Run-Big Walnut Creek

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 28 October 2016

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Pick List** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

- TNWs, including territorial seas
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **Pick List**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
Explain: **Wetland 15C5 (0.175 acre) is a depression area located in the central portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 360 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States. .**

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: **Pick List**

Drainage area: **Pick List**

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.
- Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: .

Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:** Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

- Average width: feet
Average depth: feet
Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: Leaf Paks and Wood Debris. | | |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: .

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime: The applicant described the flow as intermittent. .

Other information on duration and volume: See above. .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: S.

- Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away | <input type="checkbox"/> scour |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: . | |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Unknown.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: acres

Wetland type. Explain: All Wetlands are .

Wetland quality. Explain: .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .

Ecological connection. Explain: .

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:T.

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **6**

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: .

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: .
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): **Wetland 15C5 (0.175 acre) is a depressional area located in the central portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 360 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States. .**

study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 360 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States. .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 0.175 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: ASC Group, Inc. prepared a delineation report titled "Wetland Delineation Report for John Glenn Columbus International Airport in Columbus, Franklin County, Ohio" dated 15 September 2016 on behalf of the property owner.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: NORTHEAST COLUMBUS OH 7.5 minute Quadrangle .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Maps .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps:FEMA 100 yr Flood Zone layer in ORM Maps.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ORM2 Database images, Google and Bing. .
or Other (Name & Date): provided in the Wetland Delineation Report dated 15 September 2016.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .

- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This jurisdictional determination is only for the International Gateway East area of the airport property. Please see the attached map for the jurisdictional boundary.

Wetland 15C5 is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce and is not a jurisdictional water of the United States. LRH's draft AJD determination for the isolated aquatic features will be coordinated with the Corps HQ and USEPA Region 5 for isolated waters coordination in accordance with Corps and USEPA headquarters guidance titled, "Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the United States Supreme Courts Decision in Rapanos v. United States and Carabell v. United States," and the 28 January 2008 Corps Memorandum regarding coordination on jurisdictional determinations. The JD is not associated with an application for a Department of the Army application, and the coordination period ends on (21 days) 19 November 2016..

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Huntington District, LRH-2016-889-SCR- Golf Course Isolated Wetlands (Wetland 1, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 7, and Wetland 8) and Open Water Feature (Pond 1)).

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Ohio County/parish/borough: Franklin County City: Columbus
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.000773° **N**, Long. 82.891947° **W**.
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: Mason Run

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Scioto River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 050600011505 - Mason Run-Big Walnut Creek

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 28 October 2016

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Pick List** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

- TNWs, including territorial seas
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: **Wetland 1 (0.052 acre) is located in a depressional area in the northwestern portion of the study area and is**

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 3 (0.039 acre) is located in a depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 800 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 4 (0.051 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 425 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 5 (0.488 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 7 (0.040 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 270 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 8 (0.739 acre) is a fringe wetland of Pond 1 located in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 150 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Pond 1 (2.15 acre) is an open water feature located in eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 150 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Therefore, Wetland 1, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 7, Wetland 8, and Pond 1 are isolated, completely surrounded by uplands, have no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce and are not connected to the tributary system of a TNW, and therefore, are not jurisdictional waters of the United States. .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: **Pick List**

Drainage area: **Pick List**

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.
- Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: .

Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:** Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: feet
Average depth: feet
Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: Leaf Paks and Wood Debris. | | |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: .

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime: The applicant described the flow as intermittent. .

Other information on duration and volume: See above. .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: S.

- Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away | <input type="checkbox"/> scour |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: . | |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Unknown.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: acres

Wetland type. Explain: All Wetlands are .

Wetland quality. Explain: .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .

- Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .

Ecological connection. Explain: .

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:T.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **6**

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: .

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: .
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .

Other: (explain, if not covered above): **Wetland 1 (0.052 acre) is located in a depressional area in the northwestern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways.**

This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 3 (0.039 acre) is located in a depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 800 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 4 (0.051 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 425 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 5 (0.488 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 7 (0.040 acre) is located in a depressional area in the southern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 270 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 8 (0.739 acre) is a fringe wetland of Pond 1 located in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 150 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Pond 1 (2.15 acre) is an open water feature located in eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 150 feet away. This

feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Therefore, Wetland 1, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 7, Wetland 8, and Pond 1 are isolated, completely surrounded by uplands, have no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce and are not connected to the tributary system of a TNW, and therefore, are not jurisdictional waters of the United States. .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 1.409 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: ASC Group, Inc. prepared a delineation report titled "Wetland Delineation Report for John Glenn Columbus International Airport in Columbus, Franklin County, Ohio" dated 15 September 2016 on behalf of the property owner.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: NORTHEAST COLUMBUS OH 7.5 minute Quadrangle .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Maps .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps:FEMA 100 yr Flood Zone layer in ORM Maps.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ORM2 Database images, Google and Bing. .
or Other (Name & Date): provided in the Wetland Delineation Report dated 15 September 2016.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This jurisdictional determination is only for the Golf Course area of the airport property. Please see the attached map for the jurisdictional boundary.

Wetland 1, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 7, Wetland 8, and Pond 1 are completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce and is not a jurisdictional waters of the United States. LRH's draft AJD determination for the isolated aquatic features will be coordinated with the Corps HQ and USEPA Region 5 for isolated waters coordination in accordance with Corps and USEPA headquarters guidance titled, "Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the United States Supreme Courts Decision in Rapanos v. United States and Carabell v. United States," and the 28 January 2008 Corps Memorandum regarding coordination on jurisdictional determinations. The JD is not associated with an application for a Department of the Army application, and the coordination period ends on (21 days) 19 November 2016.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Huntington District, LRH-2016-889-SCR- Drake Road Form isolated and non-jurisdictional aquatic features (RR-6, Wetland 1, Wetland 2, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 6, Wetland 7, Wetland 8, Wetland 9, Wetland 11, Wetland 12, Wetland 13, Wetland 14, Wetland 15, Wetland 16, Wetland 17, Wetland 18, Wetland 19, Wetland 20, Wetland 21, and Pond 2)

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Ohio County/parish/borough: Franklin County City: Columbus
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.000773° **N**, Long. 82.891947° **W**.
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: Mason Run

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Scioto River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 050600011505 - Mason Run-Big Walnut Creek

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 28 October 2016

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Pick List** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **Pick List**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **Stream 2 Relevant Reach 6 (Stream 2 -1st order, ephemeral) enters the site from the northern border of the Drake Road area and exits the site on the southern border via a culvert underneath Interstate 670. Once off-site the feature discharges into an existing catchment basin. No discernable hydrological connection could be established to the tributary system of a TNW. It was determined that this feature lacks a continuous surface water connection to tributary systems of a traditional navigable water. Therefore, this feature does not meet the criteria of a water of the United States in accordance with the definitions outlined in 33 CFR 328.3(a).**

Wetland 1 (0.009 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 2 (0.187 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 3 (0.001 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 550 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 4 (0.095 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 650 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 5 (0.008 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 430 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 6 (0.014 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 7 (0.018 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 8 (0.004 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or

approximately 2,500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 18 (0.016 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,550 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 19 (0.001 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,600 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 20 (0.023 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,600 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 21 (0.084 acre) is located in a depressional area in the south-central portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 720 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Pond 2 (0.257 acre) is an open water feature located in south eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States. .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: **Pick List**

Drainage area: **Pick List**

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.
- Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: .

Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:** Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

- Average width: feet
Average depth: feet
Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: Leaf Paks and Wood Debris. | | |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: .

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .

Tributary geometry: Pick List

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Pick List

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List

Describe flow regime: The applicant described the flow as intermittent. .

Other information on duration and volume: See above. .

Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: S.

- Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away | <input type="checkbox"/> scour |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: . | |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Unknown.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: acres

Wetland type. Explain: All Wetlands are .

Wetland quality. Explain: .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .

- Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
 - Ecological connection. Explain: .
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:T.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **6**

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: .

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: .
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:**Stream 2 Relevant Reach 6 (Stream 2 -1st order, ephemeral) enters the site from the northern border of the Drake Road area and exits the site on the southern border via a culvert underneath Interstate 670. Once off-site the feature discharges into an existing catchment basin. No discernable hydrological connection could be established to the tributary system of a TNW. It was determined that this feature lacks a continuous surface water connection to tributary systems of a traditional navigable water. Therefore, this feature does not meet the criteria of a water of the United States in accordance with the definitions outlined in 33 CFR 328.3(a).**
 - Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Wetland 1 (0.009 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 2 (0.187 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 3 (0.001 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 550 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 4 (0.095 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 650 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 5 (0.008 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 430 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 6 (0.014 acre) is located in a forested depressional area in the western portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and forested area. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately

Wetland 17 (0.094 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,500 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 18 (0.016 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,550 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 19 (0.001 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,600 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 20 (0.023 acre) is located in a depressional area in the eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,600 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Wetland 21 (0.084 acre) is located in a depressional area in the south-central portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 720 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States.

Pond 2 (0.257 acre) is an open water feature located in south eastern portion of the study area and is completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce. The land surrounding this wetland consists of maintained open space and paved roadways. This feature does not have a distinct surface water connection to another potential water of the United States. The closest jurisdictional stream is approximately 2,400 feet away. This feature would not support interstate or foreign commerce interest, nor does it contain any rare or threatened and endangered species. Therefore, this feature is an isolated wetland is not a jurisdictional water of the United States..

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 1.409 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: ASC Group, Inc. prepared a delineation report titled "Wetland Delineation Report for John Glenn Columbus International Airport in Columbus, Franklin County, Ohio" dated 15 September 2016 on behalf of the property owner.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: NORTHEAST COLUMBUS OH 7.5 minute Quadrangle .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Maps .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps:FEMA 100 yr Flood Zone layer in ORM Maps.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): ORM2 Database images, Google and Bing, .
 - or Other (Name & Date): provided in the Wetland Delineation Report dated 15 September 2016.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This jurisdictional determination is only for the Golf Course area of the airport property. Please see the attached map for the jurisdictional boundary.

Wetland 1, Wetland 2, Wetland 3, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 6, Wetland 7, Wetland 8, Wetland 9, Wetland 11, Wetland 12, Wetland 13, Wetland 14, Wetland 15, Wetland 16, Wetland 17, Wetland 18, Wetland 19, Wetland 20, and Wetland 21 are completely surrounded by uplands, with no surface water connection to a water of the United States, and no nexus to interstate or foreign commerce and are not a jurisdictional waters of the United States. LRH's draft AJD determination for the isolated aquatic features will be coordinated with the Corps HQ and USEPA Region 5 for isolated waters coordination in accordance with Corps and USEPA headquarters guidance titled, "Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the United States Supreme Courts Decision in Rapanos v. United States and Carabell v. United States," and the 28 January 2008 Corps Memorandum regarding coordination on jurisdictional determinations. The JD is not associated with an application for a Department of the Army application, and the coordination period ends on (21 days) 19 November 2016..